## A Transformation of N-Alkylated Anilines to N-Aryloxamates

## by Xiao-He Zhu, Xin Zhang, Hong-Xing Xin, and Hong Yan\*

College of Life Science and Bioengineering, Beijing University of Technology, Beijing 100124, P. R. China (e-mail: hongyan@bjut.edu.cn)

Transformation of N-alkylated anilines to N-aryloxamates was studied using ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate as an alkylating agent and dirhodium tetraacetate  $(Rh_2(OAc)_4)$  as the catalyst. The general applicability of the reaction as a synthetic method for N-aryloxamates was studied with a number of substituted N-alkylated anilines. The results revealed that the oxamate was formed by a radical reaction with molecular  $O_2$  and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  as initiator.

**Introduction.** – N-Aryloxamates (=  $2$ -(arylamino)-2-oxoacetates) have been broadly used as 1,2-dielectrophiles and selective inhibitors of the sperm-specific lactate dehydrogenase isozyme-C4 [1]. Therefore, a new synthetic method for the preparation of oxamates is of great interest. The existing methods for the synthesis of oxamates are carbonylation of amino aldehydes using  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>[2]$ , reaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with diketones [3] and aniline with diethyl oxalate [4], oxidation of dithiooxamide [5], and hydrolysis of  $\alpha$ -functionalized nitriles [6]. It is, therefore, anticipated that the transformation of Nalkylanilines to N-aryloxamates will serve as an attractive method for the synthesis of oxamates.

From the N-alkylation of anilines 1, using ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ , in addition to compounds 2, N-aryloxamates 3 were obtained as main by-products. This product can be produced by the oxidation of  $2$  with  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in the presence of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in a likely radical process [7]. The formation of the oxamates 3 prompted us to examine the generality of the reaction from the perspective of using it as a synthetic method for the preparation of oxamates (Scheme 1).

**Results and Discussion.** – Compounds 2 were prepared by the N-alkylation of anilines with ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate catalyzed by  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in refluxing benzene. The resulting mixtures were filtered over silica gel, eluting with AcOH/petroleum ether, and significant amounts of by-products 3 were always obtained, sometimes in equal amounts as 2. The transformation of 2 to  $N$ -aryloxamates 3 occured *via* oxidation of 2 in the presence of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ . Assuming that  $O_2$  participates in the reaction leading to 3, the preparation of 3a was studied under different gas-bubbling conditions to demonstrate the role of molecular  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in the reaction. The test reactions were performed with 2a and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in refluxing benzene only, and with air,  $O_2$ , or  $N_2$ flow rate of 2 l/h. The results are compiled in Table 1. The yield of 3a was 18.1% in refluxing benzene, but it significantly increased to 41.7% in the presence of air. The

<sup>© 2013</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Scheme 1. Transformation of N-Alkylated Anilines to N-Aryloxamates



yield further increased to 49.4% in an atmosphere of  $O_2$ , and no oxamate formation was observed in an atmosphere of  $N_2$ . The more molecular  $O_2$  with the opportunity to participate in the reaction was present, the more 3a was formed, indicating that molecular  $O_2$  was an essential reagent in the reaction.





h).

To confirm that  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  participated in the transformation of 2a to 3a, 2a was reacted in the presence of molecular  $O_2$  in refluxing benzene without  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  for 24 h. Interestingly, 3a was not detected in the reaction mixture. This result revealed that  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  was necessary for the transformation of N-alkylanilines to N-aryloxamates.

Next, the reaction was performed with different amounts of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ . The results are collected in Table 2. When the amount of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  was reduced to 2.5  $\times$  $10^{-4}$  equiv., the yields of 3a remained constant at 49%. Obviously,  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  acted as the initiator in this free-radical reaction [7], and it cannot be replaced by other radical initiators, such as azobiisobutyronitrile (AIBN) or  $I_2$ .

The synthesis of 3a was simplified by introducing  $O_2$  into the mixture of aniline 1a, ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate, and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in benzene. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux, until 1a was converted into 3a, as monitored by TLC, in 39.4% yield. The general applicabelity of the reaction as a synthetic method for the preparation of N-aryloxamates was further studied with a number of substituted anilines under the same conditions (*i.e.*,  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  equiv. of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  in the presence of molecular  $O_2$ (2 l/h)). The results are compiled in Table 3.

1544 Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 96 (2013)

Table 2. Effect of the Amount of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  on the Yield of  $3a^a$ )

Entry	Equiv.	Yield $[\%]$	
$\mathcal{I}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	49.4	
$\overline{2}$	$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$	49.3	
3	$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$	38.6	

<sup>a</sup>) Compound 2a (2 mmol), dry benzene (10 ml), and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  (0.005 mmol); reflux, 0.5 h, O<sub>2</sub> bubbling (2 l/h).

Table 3. Yields of Oxamates  $3a-3k^a$ )

Entry	Product	X	Time [min]	Yield $[\%]$	
				This work	Conventional method
	3a [4]	H	80	39.4	64
$\mathfrak{D}$	3b[4]	$4-Me$	100	45.1	35
3	3c[4]	$3-Me$	100	47.6	37
$\overline{4}$	3d $[4]$	$4-NO2$	60	66.8	41
5	3e[4]	$3-NO2$	60	64.0	64
6	3f[4]	$4-MeO$	100	49.3	88
7	3g[4]	$4-Cl$	70	68.4	75
8	3h[4]	$3-Cl$	70	71.6	87
9	3i [8]	4-EtOCO	70	69.2	54
10	3j[9]	$2,5-F$ ,	50	65.6	63
11	$3k$ [10]	$3,5-(CF_3)$	60	68.7	59

<sup>a</sup>) 1 Compound (2 mmol), ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate (5.0 mmol), dry benzene (10 ml), and  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  $(2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ equiv.})$ ; reflux, O<sub>2</sub> bubbling  $(2 \text{ l/h})$ .

This method afforded 3 in less than 100 min at reflux temperature, whereas conventional methods [4] required temperatures of  $115^{\circ}$  and times of up to 5.5 h with aniline and diethyl oxalate. The efficiency of the preparation of 3b and 3c was also increased, as the yield was 45.1 and 47.6% by the reported method, and 35 and 37% for the conventional method, respectively. However, the yield of  $3f(49.3%)$  was lower than the yield of 88% reported in [4]. The explanation of the lower yields needs further studies.

The mechanism of the transformation of 2 to 3 is presumed to be an oxidation of 2 by  $O_2$  via a free-radical mechanism, as outlined in *Scheme 2*, and similar to the 2,3cleavage of acetylacetone to acetate and methylglyoxal  $(=2$ -oxopropanal [11]. The transition-metal ions play a key role in oxygen activation, to form a transition metal– $O<sub>2</sub>$ complex and a free peroxide radical [12]. The cat.OO abstracts  $H^{\dagger}$  from 2 to give cat.OOH, leading to a C-centered radical  $2'$ . The addition of O<sub>2</sub> gives  $2''$ , which abstracts H $\cdot$  from cat. OOH or 2 to generate hydroperoxide 3'. Finally, 3' undergoes nucleophilic attack of the HO group to the adjacent  $C=O$  group to form 3.

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism of Transformation of 2 to 3



**Conclusions.** – The transformation of  $N$ -alkylanilines into  $N$ -aryloxamates was accomplished in the presence of  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  using molecular  $O_2$ . The general applicability of the reaction as a synthetic method for N-aryloxamates was evaluated with a series of substituted anilines. The potential application of the reaction as a synthetic method for oxamates is remarkable and may serve as an alternative in the synthesis of oxamates.

This work was financially supported by the Key Projects in the National Science & Technology Pillar Program during the Twelfth Five-Year Plan Period (No. 2012ZX10001007-008-002) and Scientific and Technological Innovation Platform of Beijing Local Colleges and Universities (No. JJ015790201202).

## Experimental Part

General. All chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification. All reactions were monitored by TLC (silica-gel plates; Merck 60  $F_{254}$ ). Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (Merck, 230 – 400 mesh). M.p.: X-5 apparatus; uncorrected. NMR Spectra: Bruker-Avance II400 instrument (400 MHz) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>;  $\delta$  rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si, in ppm, J in Hz.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 3. A mixture of aniline 1 (2.0 mmol),  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ (0.005 mmol), and 10 ml of dry benzene was heated to reflux. A soln. of ethyl 2-diazoacetoacetate [13] (5.0 mmol) in 10 ml of benzene was added dropwise to the refluxing mixture in the presence of molecular  $O_2$ , which was bubbled into the mixture at a rate of 2 l/h. The resulting mixture was heated at reflux temperature until 1 was consumed. After cooling to r.t., the volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by CC (petroleum ether/AcOEt) to give 3 in yields in the range of 39.4 – 69.2%.

Ethyl 2-Oxo-2-(phenylamino)acetate (3a) [4]. Yield: 221.0 mg (39.4%). Colorless solid. M.p. 71 –  $72^{\circ}$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.35 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 4.35 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.17 – 7.23 (m, 3 arom. H); 7.48 – 7.52 (m, 2 arom. H); 8.85 (s, NH).

Ethyl 2-[(4-Methylphenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3b) [4]. Yield: 186.9 mg (45.1%). Colorless solid. M.p. 69 – 71°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.43 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 2.34 (s, Me); 4.42 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.18 (d, J = 8.0, 2 arom. H); 7.53  $(d, J = 8.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$ ; 8.86  $(s, NH)$ .

Ethyl 2-[(3-Methylphenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate  $(3c)$  [4]. Yield: 197.3 mg (47.6%). Colorless solid. M.p. 58 – 59°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.42 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 2.36 (s, Me); 4.41 ( $q$ , J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.00 – 7.45 (m, 4 arom. H); 9.38 (s, NH).

Ethyl 2-[(4-Nitrophenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3d) [4]. Yield: 318.2 mg (66.8%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $144-145^{\circ}$ .  $^{1}$ H-NMR:  $1.44$  (t,  $J = 7.2$ , Me);  $4.45$  (q,  $J = 7.2$ , CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.86 (d,  $J = 8.8$ , 2 arom. H); 8.27 (d,  $J = 8.8$ , 2 arom. H); 9.27 (s, NH).

Ethyl 2-[(3-Nitrophenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3e) [4]. Yield: 304.9 mg (64.0%). Colorless solid. M.p. 96–98°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.45 (*t*, *J* = 7.2, Me); 4.60 (*q*, *J* = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.56–7.60 (*m*, 1 arom. H); 8.05–8.10  $(m, 2 \text{ arom. H})$ ; 8.53  $(s, 1 \text{ arom. H})$ ; 9.19  $(s, NH)$ .

Ethyl 2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3f) [4]. Yield: 264.7 mg (49.3%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $112-113^\circ$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.40 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 3.75 (s, Me); 4.35 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 6.85 (dd, J = 8.4, 2 arom. H); 7.50  $(dd, J=8.4, 2$  arom. H); 8.90  $(s, NH)$ .

Ethyl 2-[(4-Chlorophenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3g) [4]. Yield: 356.9 mg (68.4%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $146 - 148^\circ$ .  $^1$ H-NMR:  $1.41$   $(t, J = 7.2,$  Me);  $4.41$   $(q, J = 7.2,$  CH<sub>2</sub>);  $7.34$   $(d, J = 8.8, 2 \text{ arom. H})$ ;  $7.61$   $(d, J = 1.4)$  $J = 8.8, 2$  arom. H); 8.95 (s, NH).

Ethyl 2-[(3-Chlorophenyl)amino]-2-oxoacetate (3h) [4]. Yield: 371.5 mg (71.6%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $110^{\circ}$ .  $^1$ H-NMR:  $1.50$  (t,  $J = 7.2$ , Me);  $4.45$  ( $q$ ,  $J = 7.2$ , CH<sub>2</sub>);  $7.28 - 7.31$  ( $m$ , 1 arom. H);  $7.34 - 7.36$  ( $m$ , 1 arom. H); 7.52 – 7.53  $(m, 1 \text{ arom. H})$ ; 7.75  $(s, 1 \text{ arom. H})$ ; 9.10  $(s, NH)$ .

Ethyl 4-[(2-Ethoxy-1,2-dioxoethyl)amino]benzoate (3i) [8]. Yield: 367.1 mg (69.2%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $130-131^{\circ}$ .  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ :  $1.40$  (t,  $3\text{ H}$ ,  $J$  = 7.2, Me);  $1.44$  (t,  $J$  = 7.2, Me);  $4.37$  (q,  $J$  = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>);  $4.43$  $(q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>)$ ; 7.73 (d,  $J = 8.8, 2$  arom. H); 8.06 (d,  $J = 8.8, 2$  arom. H); 9.05 (s, NH).

Ethyl 2- $[(2,5-Difluorophenyl)$ amino]-2-oxoacetate (3j) [9]. Yield: 291.5 mg (65.6%). Colorless solid. M.p.  $44.5-45.3^{\circ}$ .  $^{1}$ H-NMR: 1.46 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 4.46 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 6.21 – 6.29 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.11 – 7.13  $(m, 1 \text{ atom. H})$ ; 8.21 – 8.23  $(m, 1 \text{ atom. H})$ ; 9.15  $(s, NH)$ .

Ethyl 2- $[13,5-Bis(trifluorometryl)phenyl/aminol-2-oxoacetate (3k) [10]. Yield: 303.9 mg (68.7%)$ . Colorless solid. M.p.  $88 - 90^{\circ}$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 1.45 (t, J = 7.2, Me); 4.35 (q, J = 7.2, CH<sub>2</sub>); 7.41 (s, 1 arom. H); 7.87 (s, 2 arom. H); 9.11 (s, NH).

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] F. Berrée, G. Michelot, M. Le Corre, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8275; C. Wong, L. Rodríguez-Páez, B. N. Nogueda, A. Pérez, I. Baeza, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol.* 1997, 1343, 16.
- [2] R. Saladino, V. Neri, C. Crestini, G. Costanzo, M. Graciotti, E. Di Mauro, J. Mol. Evol. 2010, 71, 100.
- [3] J. Marra, M. R. Goehring, J. Perez, L. Stasaitis, R. Y. Liu, WO Pat. WO2004111011, 2004; R. Wilmes, M. Winnewisser, J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 1992, 31, 1037.
- [4] R. J. Cremlyn, J. Chem. Eng. Data 1974, 19, 288.
- [5] M. Nasr-Esfahani, M. Montazerozohori, M. Moghadam, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, S. Moradi, J. Sulfur Chem. 2009, 30, 17; M. Nasr-Esfahani, M. Moghadam, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, M. H. Boostanifar, Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 2009, 184, 141; I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, M. M. Sadeghi, K. Esmayilpour, Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 2003, 178, 61.
- [6] J. M. Photis, Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 3539; U. Drehmann, H. J. Born, J. Prakt. Chem. (Leipzig) 1957, 5, 200; J. Anatol, A. Medete, Synthesis 1971, 538.
- [7] J. Y. He, X. Q. Song, H. Yan, R. G. Zhong, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 2012, 49, 1357.
- [8] P. A. Petyunin, A. V. Storozheva, Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1962, 32, 1395.
- [9] V. Nair, V. Sheeba, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6898.
- [10] J. J. Batch, K. P. Parry, C. F. Rowe, D. K. Lawrence, M. J. Brown, DE19782819878 19780505, 1978.
- [11] G. Straganz, L. Brecker, H.-J. Weber, W. Steiner, D. W. Ribbons, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2002, 297, 232.
- [12] T. D. H. Bugg, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 7075; N. W. Aboelella, S. V. Kryatov, B. F. Gherman, W. W. Brennessel, V. G. Young, R. Sarangi, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, K. O. Hodgson, B. Hedman, E. I. Solomon, C. J. Cramer, W. B. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 16896.
- [13] J. C. Lee, J. Y. Yuk, Synth. Commun. 1995, 25, 1511.

Received November 6, 2012